IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

TELCORDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,)
Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant,)
v.) C.A. No. 04-875-GMS
LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,)
Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff.)))
TELCORDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,)
Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant,)
v.) C.A. No. 04-876-GMS
CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,)
Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff.)))

NOTICE OF SUBPOENA

TO: Steven J. Balick
John G. Day, Esquire
Glenn C. Mandalas
ASHBY & GEDDES
YOUNG, CONAWAY, STARGATT & TAYLOR LLP
500 Delaware Avenue, 8th Fl.
Wilmington, DE 19801
The Brandywine Building
1000 West Street, 17th Fl.
Wilmington, DE 19801

Don O. Burley
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow,
Garrett & Dunner

901 New York Avenue
Washington, DC 20001

David A. Nelson
Latham & Watkins LLP
233 South Wacker Drive
Sears Tower, Suite 5800
Chicago, IL 60606

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the attached subpoena is being served on:

Hung-Hsiang Jonathan Chao 3 Luccarelli Drive Holmdel, NJ 07733-1223

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP

/s/ Leslie A. Polizoti

Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014) Leslie A. Polizoti (#4299) 1201 North Market Street Wilmington, DE 19801 (302) 658-9200 Attorneys for Defendant Cisco Systems, Inc.

OF COUNSEL:

Matthew D. Powers Edward R. Reines Jessica L. Davis Sonal N. Mehta Thomas B. King WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 201 Redwood Shores Parkway Redwood Shores, CA 94065 (650) 802-3000

Ryan Owens WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153 (212) 310-8000

February 2, 2007 723129.1

Filed 02/02/2007

Issued by the

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICTO	F DELAWARE	
TELCORDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Plaintiff,	SUBPOENA IN A CIV	VIL CASE
V.	Case Number: 04-876-0	GMS ¹
CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. Defendant.		
TELCORDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Plaintiff, V.	Case Number: 04-875-0	3MS
LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES INC.,	Case Namoer. 04-075-0	31413
Defendant.	(CONSOLIDATED FO	OR TRIAL)
TO: Hung-Hsiang Jonathan Chao 3 Luccarelli Drive Holmdel, NJ 07733-1223		
YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the United States testify in the above case.	District court at the place, date,	and time specified below to
PLACE OF TESTIMONY		COURTROOM
United States District Court – District of Delaware	•	4A
J. Caleb Boggs Federal Building		DATE AND TIME
844 North King Street		April 30, 2007 9:00 a.m.
Wilmington, DE 19801		11,011 30, 2001 3100 41111
YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the place, date, a in the above case.	and time specified below to test	ify at the taking of a deposition
PLACE OF DEPOSITION		DATE AND TIME
YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and permit inspect place, date, and time specified below (list documents or		ing documents or objects at the
PLACE		DATE AND TIME
YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of the	following premises at the date	and time specified below.
PREMISES		DATE AND TIME

Any organization not a party to this suit that is subpoenaed for the taking of a deposition shall designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to testify on its behalf, and may set forth, for each person designated, the matters on which the person will testify. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 30(b)(6).

AO88 (DE Rev. 01/07) Subpoerla in a Civil Case Document 286 Filed 02/02/200	07 Page 4 of 6	
ISSUING OFFICER'S SIGNATURE AND TITLE (INDICATE IF ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF OR DEFENDANT)	DATE	
Attorney for Defendant Cisco Systems, Inc.	February 2, 2007	
ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME, AND PHONE NUMBER		
Leslie A. Polizoti (#4299), Morris, Nichols, Arsht & Tunnell LLP, 1201 North Market Street, Wilmington Delaware 19801, (302) 351-9415		

(See Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), on next page)

¹ If action is pending in district other than district of issuance, state district under case number.

Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), as amended on December 1, 2006:

- (c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS.
- (1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena. The court on behalf of which the subpoena was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate sanction, which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and a reasonable attorney's fee.
- (2) (A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of designated electronically stored information, books, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.
- (B) Subject to paragraph (d)(2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling may, within 14 days after service of the subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objection to producing any or all of the designated materials or inspection of the premises or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to inspect, copy, test, or sample the materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoena was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production, inspection, copying, testing, or sampling. Such an order to compel shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the inspection, copying, testing, or sampling commanded.
- (3) (A) On timely motion, the court by which a subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena if it
 - (i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance;
- (ii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person resides, is employed or regularly transacts business in person, except that, subject to the provisions of clause (c)(3)(B)(iii) of this rule, such a person may in order to attend trial be commanded to travel from any such place within the state in which the trial is held;
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies; or
 - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
 - (B) If a subpoena
- (i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or
- (ii) requires disclosure of an unretained expert's opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party, or
- (iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court may, to protect a person subject

- to or affected by the subpoena, quash or modify the subpoena or, if the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.
- (d) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPOENA.
- (1) (A) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand.
- (B) If a subpoena does not specify the form or forms for producing electronically stored information, a person responding to a subpoena must produce the information in a form or forms in which the person ordinarily maintains it or in a form or forms that are reasonably nearly.
- (C) A person responding to a subpoena need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- (D) A person responding to a subpoena need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or to quash, the person from whom discovery is sought must show that the information sought is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.
- (2) (A) When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.
- (B) If information is produced in response to a subpoena that is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notified, any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has and may not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved. A receiving party may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. If the receiving party disclosed the information before being notified, it must take reasonable steps to retrieve it. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.
- (e) CONTEMPT. Failure of any person without adequate excuse to obey a subpoena served upon that person may be deemed a contempt of the court from which the subpoena issued. An adequate cause for failure to obey exists when a subpoena purports to require a nonparty to attend or produce at a place not within the limits provided by clause (ii) of subparagraph (c)(3)(A).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Leslie Polizoti, hereby certify that on February 2, 2007 I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF, which will send notification of such filing to Steven J. Balick and John G. Day.

I further certify that I caused copies of the foregoing document to be served on February 2, 2007 upon the following in the manner indicated:

BY HAND

John G. Day ASHBY & GEDDES 222 Delaware Avenue Wilmington, DE 19801

John W. Shaw YOUNG, CONAWAY, STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP 1000 West Street, 17th Fl. The Brandywine Building Wilmington, DE 19801

BY FEDERAL EXPRESS on 2/2/07

Don O. Burley FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER 901 New York Avenue Washington, DC 20001

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL

John W. Shaw (jshaw@ycst.com)
John Day (jday@ashby-geddes.com)
John Williamson (john.williamson@finnegan.com)
York Faulkner (york.faulkner@finnegan.com)
Don Burley (don.burley@finnegan.com)
David Nelson (david.nelson@lw.com)

/s/ Leslie A. Polizoti

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP 1201 North Market Street Wilmington, DE 19801 (302) 658-9200